

## In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.: 10/071,557  
 Filed: 2/5/02  
 Title: Improving Data Throughput Over Lossy Communication Links  
 Applicant(s): Debarag N. Banerjee  
 Examiner: not yet assigned  
 Art Unit: 2681



## Information Disclosure Statement

Mailed 5/14/02  
 Santa Clara, CA  
**RECEIVED**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
 Washington, District of Columbia 20231

MAY 22 2002

Dear Sir or Madam:

Technology Center 2600

Attached is a completed Form PTO-1449 and copies of the pertinent parts of the references cited thereon. It is requested that the document(s) on the enclosed form be made of record.

**Part I (Authority)**

This statement is filed pursuant to:

37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b).

This information disclosure statement is filed either (1) within three months of the filing date of the national applications; (2) within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.491 in an international application; or (3) before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits, whichever event occurs last.

Accordingly, this information disclosure statement requires no fee and no certification.

37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c).

This information disclosure statement is filed after the period specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b), but before the mailing date of either (1) a final action under 37 C.F.R. § 1.113 or (2) a notice of allowance under 37 C.F.R. § 1.311.

Accordingly, this information disclosure statement requires either the fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) (\$240), or a certification according to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e).

37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d).

This information disclosure statement is filed after the period specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c).

Accordingly, this information disclosure statement requires the petition fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i)(1) to consider an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d) (\$130), a certification according to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e), and a petition requesting consideration of the information disclosure statement.

**Conditional Petition**

It is respectfully requested that this information disclosure statement be considered, good cause being presented in Part III herein (certification). Please treat this paper as the required petition.

If this statement crosses in the mail with an office action, or is otherwise not in the indicated category of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97, it is respectfully requested that this statement be treated in the next appropriate category and made of record.

To the extent required, please treat this paper as a conditional petition for acceptance of the information disclosure statement.

## Part II (Payment)

A check is enclosed as indicated:

No fee is due.

The fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) is enclosed (\$240).

The petition fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i)(1) to consider an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d) is enclosed (\$130).

**RECEIVED**

## Part III (Certification)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e), I certify:

**MAY 22 2002**

No certification is necessary.

(1) Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the statement.

(2) The "communication from a foreign patent office" referred to in the certification is an International Search Report, possibly issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in its capacity as an International Search Authority or International Preliminary Examining Authority.

(3) The "counterpart foreign application" referred to in the certification corresponds to an ancestor or descendent application of the application for which this information disclosure statement is filed.

(4) (2) No item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, or, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c), more than three months prior to the filing of the statement.

**Technology Center 2600**

## Part IV (Additional Statement)

An additional statement regarding these items of information ( ) is, ( X ) is not, enclosed.

Copies of the cited art ( X ) are enclosed, ( ) are of record in parent application Serial No. \_\_\_\_\_ and will be provided if the Examiner deems it convenient.

Dated:

5/14/02

Respectfully submitted,



Dr. Ron Jacobs  
Reg. No. 50,142  
45 Cabot Ave., Suite 110  
Santa Clara, CA 95051  
tel: (408) 260-7300  
fax: (408) 260-7301

FORM PTO-1449 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE								ATTY. DOCKET NO. S00-205	SERIAL NO. 10/071,557	
<b>LIST OF PRIOR ART CITED BY APPLICANT</b> (Use several sheets if necessary)								APPLICANT Debarag N. Banerjee		
								FILING DATE 2/5/02	GROUP 2681	
<b>U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS</b>										
EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER							DATE	NAME	RELEVANT INFORMATION
A	5	9	7	4	0	2	8	10/26/99	Ramakrishnan	370/229
B	6	2	9	8	0	4	1	10/2/01	Packer	370/231
C	6	2	0	8	6	2	0	3/27/01	Sen et al.	370/231
D	6	0	3	8	2	1	6	3/14/00	Packer	370/231
E	6	2	8	2	1	7	2	8/28/01	Robles et al.	370/230
F	5	7	9	3	7	6	8	8/11/98	Keshav	370/400
G										Technology Center 2600
H										
<b>FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS</b>										
		2-letter code	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY			TRANSLATION		
								YES	NO	
I										
J										
<b>OTHER PRIOR ART</b> (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)										
K		Lakshman et al., "Window-Based Error Recovery and Flow Control with a Slow Acknowledgement Channel: A study of TCP/IP Performance," INFOCOM '97, pp. 1199-1209, April 11, 1997								
		Teunis J. Ott, et al., "The Stationary Behavior of Ideal TCP Congestion Avoidance," DIMACS Workshop on Performance of Real-Time Applications on the Internet, Aug. 22, 1996, pp.131								
L		Matthew Mathis, "Windowed Ping: An IP Layer Performance Diagnostic," Computer Networks and ISDN Systems, 27 pp. 449-459, 1994								
		Casetti, C. et al., "TCP with Faster Recovery," IEEE, Vol. 1, p. 320-4, 2000								
M										
N										
EXAMINER					DATE CONSIDERED					
* EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.										

*MAY 20 2002*

**RECEIVED**

**MAY 22 2002**

**Technology Center 2600**